#### Annals of Plant and Soil Research 16(4): 349-352 (2014)

# RESPONSE OF MAIZE TO INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT IN ACIDIC SOILS OF NAGALAND

# WATISUNGLA AMER, Y.K. SHARMA<sup>\*</sup> AND S.K. SHARMA

Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, SASRD, Nagaland University, Medziphema - 797106, Nagaland

Received: May, 2014; Revised accepted: September, 2014

#### ABSTRACT

A green house experiment was conducted at SASRD, Nagaland University, Medziphema, Nagaland during rainy (kharif) seasons of, 2012 to evaluate the effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality of maize and soil health of acidic Dystrochrepts. Results revealed that plant height and grains per cob were affected significantly with the different treatments. Among all the treatments, recommended dose of NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + lime + biofertilizer (Azotobacter) recorded maximum plant height and yield attributes. Maximum grain and stover yields of maize were recorded at 100% NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR + Azotobacter followed by 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR + Azotobacter ( $T_8$ ), respectively. The  $T_{12}$  and  $T_8$  treatments enhanced the grain and stover yield to the extent of 60.9 and 36.9 % over control, respectively. The 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR + Azotobacter treatment proved optimum which increased by grain yield 53.3 % over control. Nitrogen, P, K, Ca and Mg uptake by the crop increased with different treatments over control. Addition of NPK fertilizers along with organic manure, lime, and biofertilizers increased pH, organic carbon and available N, P and K status of the soil. Lower total and exchangeable acidity were reported in soils treated with lime (20% lime of LR).

Key words: Maize, integrated nutrient management, yield, dystrochrepts

# **INTRODUCTION**

Maize (Zea mays L.) is high calorie cereal, rich in carbohydrate and protein and fairly good source of iron, phosphorus and vitamins. Maize is the most versatile of all cereals, providing nutrient for humans and livestock, serves as raw material in industrial production of starch, oil, protein, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, in pharmaceuticals, as well as bio-fuel. Soil acidity has been shown to play a key role in determining nutrient availability to plants and in many instances by specific mineral stress problems. Soil acidity is the most important cause of low yield for many crops (Patiram, 2007). Soil acidification is an increasing problem in the world because of acid rain, removal of natural plant coverage from large production areas and the use of ammonium-based fertilizers. Acid soil infertility is a syndrome of problems that affect plant growth in soils with low pH. Integrated nutrient management has been considered as essential component of sustainable crop production system (Harmsan and Kelly, 1993). Keeping in view the increasing gap between removal and supply of essential plant nutrients (Tiwari, 2002), search for alternative plant nutrients sources has gained momentum. In situation like in Nagaland, where agricultural production systems always creates jeopardy owing to problems like soil acidity, high loss of nutrients through soil erosion, lower availability and greater fixation of nutrients coupled with little use of external nutrient inputs, judicious integration of all the resources available at hand

\**Corresponding author, Email: yk2310sharma@rediffmail.com* 

seems to be the only viable option (Ramesh *et al.*, 2007). Keeping in view the various types of recommendations of nutrient management, the present investigation was undertaken to study the performance of maize to integrated nutrient management under acid soil.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A green house experiment was conducted in the Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, SASRD, Nagaland University, Medziphema, (Nagaland) with maize (cv. All Rounder) as the test crop. The experimental soil was sandy loam with EC 0.23 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, pH 5.9, lime requirement 5.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 16 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available NPK 220, 12 and 175 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The experiment was laid out in CRD design with twelve treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub>control, T<sub>2</sub>- 100 % RD of NPK, T<sub>3</sub>- 12 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_4$ - 20% lime of LR,  $T_5$ - 75% NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>- 75% NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR, T<sub>7</sub>-75% NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Azotobacter,  $T_8$ - 75% NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter,  $T_{9}$ - 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $T_{10}$ - 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 20% lime of LR,  $T_{11}$ -100 % NPK + 6 t FYM + Azotobacter and T<sub>12</sub>- 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM  $ha^{-1}$ + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter. Earthen pots of 30cm diameter size were filled with 8 kg of soil. The N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  @ 120, 60 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively were used as recommended doses for the maize crop. The N, P and K were supplied through urea, single superphosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Lime was supplied through agriculture

grade calcium carbonate. The maize seeds were treated with a strain of Azotobacter @ 200 g per 10 kg of seed before sowing as per treatment requirement and three seeds in each pot were sown on 17 May, 2012 at a depth of 5 cm at optimum soil moisture level to ensure proper germination. Thinning was done three weeks after germination and only one healthy plant in each pot was allowed to grow. Weeding was done at regular interval to check the weed growth. The data on plant height, number of cobs plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, grain and stover yield were recorded. Plant samples were analysed for N by Kjeldahl method. Phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium in plant samples were determined in diacid (HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>) extract by adopting standard procedure (Jackson, 1973). Soil samples collected after crop harvest were analyzed for pH, EC, total and exchangeable acidities, organic carbon and available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium using standard procedures (Jackson 1973). **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 

#### Yield

A perusal of data (Table 1) indicates that significantly taller plants were produced with different treatments over control and maximum plant height was recorded with 100% NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 20 % lime of LR+ *Azotobacter* followed by 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>+ *Azotobacter*. The combined application of fertilizers, FYM, lime and biofertilizers gave better plant growth than sole application of inorganic fertilizer (NPK) or FYM. Number of cobs per plant was not affected significantly with various treatments. Number of grains per cob was affected significantly by different treatments. Number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup> increased significantly with application

Table 1: Effect of INM on growth and yield of maize

of 100 % NPK over control. Maximum number of grains per cob was recorded with 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter. But number of grains was not affected significantly by FYM and lime application in comparison to control. This might be due to the role of *Azotobacter* which met the requirement of nitrogen for maize in combination with chemical fertilizers and manures. These findings corroborates with the results obtained by Shanthi et al. (2012). A critical analysis of the data revealed that different treatments had significant beneficial effect on grain and stover yield of maize. Grain and stover yield increased significantly with application of recommended dose of NPK over control. Application of 75 % NPK + 6t FYM  $ha^{-1}$  + 20 % lime of LR+ Azotobacter increased grain yield by 53.3 % over control. Maximum grain yield was recorded under 100 % NPK@ + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ Azotobacter treatment which increased grain yield to the extent of 60.9 % over control. However, maximum stover yield was reported under 75 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ Azotobacter treatment and this treatment enhanced stover yield by 36.9 % over control. The factors mainly responsible for variation in the grain yield of maize due to different levels of integration of manures and fertilizers might be due to variations in yield components. Integrated the nutrient management provides optimum supply of nutrients at right time of crop requirement and crop absorbed required nutrients from soil for effective dry matter production and translocation of photosynthates from leaves to the sink for better development of grains (Verma et al., 2014 and Singh et al., 2013).

Treatment	Plant height			Grain yield	Stover yield
	(cm)	plant <sup>-1</sup>	cob <sup>-1</sup>	$(g pot^{-1})$	$(\mathbf{g} \mathbf{pot}^{-1})$
T <sub>1</sub> - control	105.0	1.0	304.0	31.01	59.71
T <sub>2</sub> - 100 % RD of NPK	149.7	1.0	321.0	44.30	73.80
$T_{3}$ - 12t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	138.0	1.0	315.3	39.10	70.10
$T_4$ - 20% lime of LR	127.7	1.0	314.3	35.83	69.27
$T_{5}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	152.7	1.0	327.0	46.11	76.88
$T_{6}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	154.7	1.0	328.3	46.62	78.52
T <sub>7</sub> - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	158.0	1.0	331.0	47.33	78.61
$T_8$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	162.7	1.0	341.3	48.47	81.79
$T_{9}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	158.3	1.0	331.3	47.71	79.94
$T_{10}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	161.3	1.0	332.0	47.48	79.48
$T_{11}$ -100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	156.0	1.0	334.7	47.86	80.19
$T_{12}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	165.7	0.0	349.0	49.91	81.20
SEm ±	2.5	NS	4.5	0.66	0.78
CD (P=0.05)	9.0		15.2	2.58	2.76

### Nutrient uptake

A perusal of data (Table 2) indicates that there was a significant increase in total nitrogen uptake by maize crop. Highest total uptake by maize was recorded in  $T_{12}$  (100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ *Azotobacter*) treatment while the

minimum in control. Phosphorus uptake ranged from 181 to 478 mg pot<sup>-1</sup> and highest total uptake was reported in  $T_{12}$  (100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ *Azotobacter*). The potassium uptake was affected markedly by various nutritional schedules and ranged from 615 to 1700 mg pot<sup>-1</sup>. Among the various treatments,  $T_{12}$  (100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ *Azotobacter*) recorded the highest total K uptake. Calcium and magnesium uptake increased significantly with application of various treatments over control and maximum Ca and Mg uptake was recorded under  $T_{12}$  (100 % NPK + 6 t

FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20 % lime of LR+ *Azotobacter*). All the treatments enhanced magnesium uptake significantly over control. A significant increase in uptake of nutrients might be due to availability of plant nutrients in balance and sufficient quantities and conducive soil environment for plant growth throughout the crop growing period with application of fertilizers, manures and biofertilizer which support more absorption of plant nutrients resulted higher yield and nutrient uptake. These results are in agreement with those of Singh *et al.* (2013)

Table 2: Effect of INM on total uptake of nutrients (mg pot<sup>-1</sup>) by maize

Treatment	Ν	Р	K	Ca	Mg
T <sub>1</sub> - control	670	181	615	289	217
T <sub>2</sub> - 100 % RD of NPK	1197	361	1178	593	362
$T_{3}$ - 12t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	986	274	965	473	306
$T_4$ - 20% lime of LR	869	228	836	555	281
$T_{5}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	1285	349	1313	660	383
$T_{6}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	1315	377	1394	701	382
$T_{7}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	1383	396	1458	678	403
$T_8$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	1497	427	1615	751	430
$T_{9}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	1371	384	1504	718	408
$T_{10}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	1379	393	1537	749	414
T <sub>11</sub> -100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	1447	407	1583	726	414
$T_{12}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	1584	478	1700	789	470
SEm ±	33.4	17.0	52.9	13.6	37.1
CD (P=0.05)	118.3	60.2	187.2	48.2	131.3

### Soil fertility

pH of the post harvest soil ranged from 5.90 to 6.43 (Table 3). A significant increase in the pH was reported in lime treated soil. A slight reduction in soil pH was recorded with 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM + Azotobacter as compared to control. Use of lime replaces the  $H^+$  and  $Al^{3+}$  ions from the soil exchange sites resulted increase in soil pH. These findings are in accordance with Sharma and Tripathi (1989). The EC of the soil ranged from 0.10 to 0.23 dSm<sup>-1</sup> which was not affected significantly with INM treatments. Total and exchangeable acidity of the soils varied from 2.16 to 2.56 and 0.71 to 1.22 cmol (p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively but was not significantly affected by integrated nutrient management. Lowest total and exchangeable acidity were reported with application of 20% lime of LR. Organic carbon content of soil varied from 13.7 to 15.9 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and highest value was recorded with 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR + Azotobacter. This may be attributed to the higher contribution of biomass to the soil in the form of larger root biomass, crop stubbles and residues after harvest and additive effect of FYM in accumulation of organic carbon (Choudhary et al., 2013). Among the treated soils lowest organic carbon content was found in only lime treated soils (20%

lime of LR). This might be due to enhanced oxidation of organic carbon by lime application and hence suppressed its accumulation (Chernikov et al., 1988). Available N status ranged from 218.7 to 259.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and highest value was recorded at 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM  $ha^{-1} + 20\%$  lime of LR + Azotobacter which was at par with 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. Available P ranged from 11.3 to 16.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and low available P in control pots might be due to no addition of any external input and its mining from the soil by crop. There was a significant increase in available K content of the soil after crop harvest. The available K of soils was increased from 175.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (initial) to 210.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 100 % NPK + 6 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR + Azotobacter. Application of NPK alone or along with FYM enhanced the available N, P and K status of the soil. Hence integrated nutrient management system may be helpful in improving the soil health in terms of available plant nutrients. Similar findings have been also reported by Singh et al. (2008), Verma and Mathur (2009), and Datta and Singh (2010). It is concluded from the results that the integrated use of fertilizer in combination with lime, organic manure and biofertilizers increased growth, yield and nutrient uptake of maize. It also improved the fertility status of post harvest soil.

Table 3: Effect of INM on soil properties

Treatment		EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	Forms of acidity		Org. C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Avail. nutrients		
			[cmol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg]			(kg ha <sup>1</sup> )		
		(uom)	Total Exchangeable		(g kg )	Ν	Р	K
T <sub>1</sub> - control	5.97	0.10	2.34	1.22	13.7	218.7	11.3	189.9
T <sub>2</sub> - 100 % RD of NPK	6.00	0.23	2.46	1.02	15.3	251.2	13.3	205.6
$T_{3}$ - 12t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.07	0.18	2.31	0.91	15.5	226.7	12.9	195.7
$T_4$ - 20% lime of LR	6.40	0.13	2.16	0.71	14.0	223.4	11.5	194.0
$T_{5}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.03	0.15	2.48	0.73	15.6	249.6	14.3	213.0
$T_{6}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	6.47	0.18	2.38	0.89	15.4	248.2	14.4	214.0
$T_{7}$ - 75% NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azotobacter	6.17	0.22	2.48	0.96	15.6	248.7	14.8	214.4
$T_8$ - 75% NPK+6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> +20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	6.40	0.22	2.49	0.77	15.7	250.5	15.4	216.9
$T_{9}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	5.93	0.21	2.46	0.95	15.8	256.8	15.3	214.7
$T_{10}$ - 100 % NPK + 6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> + 20% lime of LR	6.40	0.23	2.42	0.87	15.5	256.0	15.3	217.8
T <sub>11</sub> -100 % NPK + 6t FYM + <i>Azotobacter</i>	5.87	0.20	2.56	0.90	15.6	258.6	15.6	219.0
$T_{12}$ -100 % NPK+6t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> +20% lime of LR+ Azotobacter	6.43	0.22	2.48	0.76	15.9	259.1	16.2	229.3
SEm ±	0.09	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.1	2.12	0.30	2.8
CD ( <i>P</i> =0.05)	0.309	NS	N S	N S	0.5	7.50	1.08	10.1
Initial	5.94	0.23	2.45	1.12	1.44	220.7	12.5	175.3

# REFERENCES

- Chernikov, V.A., Starykh, S.E., Konchits, V.A., Khlystovskii, A.D. and Pitisina, O.A. (1988) Change in humus composition of dernopodzsolic soil under continuous fertilizer application. *Izvestiyatimiryazevskoisel Skokhozyaistvennoi* **4**: 52-57.
- Choudhary, S.K., Sharma, S.R. and Singh, P. (2013) Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on soil organic carbon and productivity of groundnut in loamy sand soil. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 15: 77-78.
- Datta, M. and Singh, N.P. (2010) Nutrient management in rice-based cropping systems as influenced by applying cattle manure alone or in combination with fertilizer in upland acid soils of Tripura. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **58**:94-98.
- Harmson, K. and Kelly, T. (1993) Natural resource management for sustainable production. Bulletin, ICRISAT.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973) Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Patiram (2007). Management of acid soils. In: Sustainable cop production for food sufficiency in NE India. Edited by: Panwar, A.S., Ngachan, S.V., Munda, G.C and Das, Anup. ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umroi Road, Umiam, Meghalaya. pp 259- 277.
- Ramesh, T., Patiram and Brajendra (2007) Management of soil health. In: Sustainable crop production for food sufficiency in NE India. Edited by: Panwar, A.S., Ngachan, S.V., Munda, G.C and Das, Anup. ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya. pp 278- 303.

- Shanthi, J., Santi, V., Ramya, S. and Balagurunathan, R. (2012) Effect of Azotobacter spp. bioinoculants and the growth of sunflower (*Helianthus annus L.*) Advances in Biotechnology **12**: 10-13.
- Sharma, S.P. and Tripathi, B.R. (1989) Studies on lime requirement of acid soils of India. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **37**: 363-370.
- Singh, M.V., Kumar, Neeraj and Mishra, B.N. (2013) Integrated use of nitrogen and FYM on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of maize in eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **15**: 128-130.
- Singh, Fateh, Kumar, Ravindra and Pal, Samir (2008) Integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system for sustainable productivity. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **56**: 205-208.
- Singh, P., Singh, P.P. and Singh, P. (2013) Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, economics, and nutrient uptake by pearl millet. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 15: 83-84.
- Tiwari, K.N. (2002) Nutrient management: Issues and strategies. *Fertiliser News* **47** (8): 23- 49.
- Verma, G. and Mathur, A.K. (2009) Effect of Integrated nutrient management on active pools of soil organic matter under maize-wheat system of a typic Haplustept. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 57: 317-322.
- Verma, Srikanth, Marker, Shailesh, Dipika and Synrem, Gideon J. (2014) Effect of combined use of organic and organic fertilizers on maize. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **16**: 77-78.